

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767.082	01/29/2004	Sang-Boh Yun	678-1283	4590
66547 7590 02/01/2008 THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER		
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BOULEVARD		BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01,17,121,122,		·	2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/767,082	YUN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher M. Brandt	2617		

	Christopher M. Brandt	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ot ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dr (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	late of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The databeen filed is the date for purposes of determining period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n and the corresponding amount of the fo d statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action;	ension fee under 37 or (22): ásarth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef. will not be entered	because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is presented. 	ow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re 116 and 41.33(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-C s): allowable if submitted in a separate. W will not be entered, or b)	educing or simplifying lected claims. ompliant Amendment , timely filed amendme	(PTOL -324). ent canceling
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-20 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	out before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	n <u>ot</u> be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	nea.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered been see Continuation Sheet. 			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. The added limitation raises new issues and requires further consideration and a search e.g. wherein if the mobile station is located in a close area, the base station assigns to the mobile station a channel of a link in the TDD mode, and if the mobile station is located in a remote area, the base station assigns to the mobile station a channel of a forward link in the TDD mode and a channel of a reverse link in the FDD mode. Phillip is discussing a TDD mode and a FDD mode, which is allocated to the terminal in response to at least one criteria relating to the spectral use of the system in order to optimize the spectral ef ficient use of the system by the terminal. However, does not assign channels to a mobile according to the type of location. Therefore, further consideration and a new search is required due to the new limitation of independent claims 1, 12, 17, 26, and 35.

Continuation of 11 does not place independent claims 24 and 25 in condition for allowance because the examiner did address applicant's arguments on page 3 line 12. Independent claims 24 and 25 are similarly to claim 1 because they both pertain to the mobile station and the base station. As the examiner noted in the previous Office Action, Phillip teaches the functionality of claims 24 and 25 as shown through claim 1. Therefore, the examiner grouped these similarly written claims together. As a result, the claims are written such that they read upon the cited reference.

Chris Brandt Art Unit 2617 12/22/2008

WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600